

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,287	•	03/16/2004	Johann Karl Kitta	KITTA ET AL1	3043
25889	7590	01/24/2006		EXAMINER	
WILLIAM		· 	ROY, ANURADHA		
COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROSLYN, 1			3736		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\overline{\mathcal{C}}$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
A.M.	10/801,287	KITTA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Anuradha Roy	3736						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tte, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed iirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nmunication.					
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jar	nuary 17, 2006.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
·	r cicolon roquiroment.		•					
Application Papers	٠							
7) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		C 440(a) (d) an (f)						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			Stane					
 Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bure 		in received in this National C	Maye					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		ot received.						
COO IIIO GILGONOG GOLGINGG GOLGIN TOT G II								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o) 🗀 Other: _	 -						

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I drawn to Figures 1-6, Species II drawn to Figures 7-14, Species III drawn to Figures 15-17, and Species IV drawn to Figures 18-26.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was attempted on January 17, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anuradha Roy whose telephone number is (571) 272-6169 and whose email address is anuradha.roy@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached between 8:00am and 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,287 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

~AR~

MAX F. HINDENBURZ MAX F. HINDENBURZ PATENT EXAMINER 2007 PATENT EXAMINER 2007 PATENT STOO